# KOLMEMÕÕTMELINE KIIRGUSLEVI VÕRRAND TAIMKATTE KAUGSEIRE TEOREETLISE ALUSENA

3D RADIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION AS THEORETICAL BASIS FOR VEGETATION REMOTE SENSING

> Juri Knjazihhin (*Yuri Knyazikhin*)

Boston Ülikool, Boston, Ameerika Ühendriigid Boston University, Boston, USA

JUHAN ROSSI PÄRANDI SÜMPOOSION TARTU OBSERVATOORIUM , TÕRAVERE, EESTIMAA AUGUST-25-2017

$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) =$$

 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi^+} \frac{\sigma(r,\Omega) = \boldsymbol{u}_L(r)G(r,\Omega)}{\boldsymbol{g}_L(r,\Omega_L)|\Omega \cdot \Omega_L|d\Omega_L} = G(r,\Omega)$ 

$$\int \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega')d\Omega'$$

$$4\pi \qquad \sigma_{s}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega) = u_{L}(r)\frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{2\pi^{+}}g_{L}(r,\Omega_{L})|\Omega\cdot\Omega_{L}||\Omega'\cdot\Omega_{L}|\gamma_{L,\lambda}(r,\Omega_{L})d\Omega_{L} = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}$$

- Ross' team revolutionary results
- validity of RTE to describe photon-canopy interaction had been clearly demonstrated
- > parameterization of its coefficients in terms of foliage area density,  $u_L(r)$ , leaf normal distribution function,  $g_L(r, \Omega_L)$ , and leaf scattering phase function,  $\gamma_L(r, \Omega_L)$

$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) =$$

 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi^+} \frac{\sigma(r,\Omega) = \boldsymbol{u}_L(r)G(r,\Omega)}{\boldsymbol{g}_L(r,\Omega_L)|\Omega \cdot \Omega_L|d\Omega_L} = G(r,\Omega)$ 

$$\int \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega')d\Omega'$$

$$4\pi \qquad \sigma_{s}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega) = u_{L}(r)\frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{2\pi^{+}}g_{L}(r,\Omega_{L})|\Omega\cdot\Omega_{L}||\Omega'\cdot\Omega_{L}|\gamma_{L,\lambda}(r,\Omega_{L})d\Omega_{L} = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}$$

- Ross' team revolutionary results
- validity of RTE to describe photon-canopy interaction had been clearly demonstrated
- > parameterization of its coefficients in terms of foliage area density,  $u_L(r)$ , leaf normal distribution function,  $g_L(r, \Omega_L)$ , and leaf scattering phase function,  $\gamma_L(r, \Omega_L)$
- Forward Problem: given coefficients, find solution

$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) =$$

 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi^+} \frac{\sigma(r,\Omega) = \boldsymbol{u}_L(r)G(r,\Omega)}{\boldsymbol{g}_L(r,\Omega_L)|\Omega \cdot \Omega_L|d\Omega_L} = G(r,\Omega)$ 

$$\int \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega')d\Omega'$$

$$4\pi \qquad \sigma_{s}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega) = u_{L}(r)\frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{2\pi^{+}}g_{L}(r,\Omega_{L})|\Omega\cdot\Omega_{L}||\Omega'\cdot\Omega_{L}|\gamma_{L,\lambda}(r,\Omega_{L})d\Omega_{L} = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}$$

- Ross' team revolutionary results
- validity of RTE to describe photon-canopy interaction had been clearly demonstrated
- > parameterization of its coefficients in terms of foliage area density,  $u_L(r)$ , leaf normal distribution function,  $g_L(r, \Omega_L)$ , and leaf scattering phase function,  $\gamma_L(r, \Omega_L)$
- Forward Problem: *given coefficients, find solution* Inverse Problem: *given solution, find coefficients*

$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) =$$

 $\sigma(r,\Omega) = \frac{u_L(r)G(r,\Omega)}{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{2\pi^+} \frac{g_L(r,\Omega_L)|\Omega \cdot \Omega_L|d\Omega_L}{G(r,\Omega)}$ 

$$\int \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega)I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega')d\Omega' \sigma_{s}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega) = u_{L}(r)\frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}(r,\Omega'\to\Omega) \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{2\pi^{+}}g_{L}(r,\Omega_{L})|\Omega\cdot\Omega_{L}||\Omega'\cdot\Omega_{L}|\gamma_{L,\lambda}(r,\Omega_{L})d\Omega_{L} = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma_{\lambda}$$

- Ross' team revolutionary results
- validity of RTE to describe photon-canopy interaction had been clearly demonstrated
- > parameterization of its coefficients in terms of foliage area density,  $u_L(r)$ , leaf normal distribution function,  $g_L(r, \Omega_L)$ , and leaf scattering phase function,  $\gamma_L(r, \Omega_L)$
- Forward Problem: *given coefficients, find solution* Inverse Problem: *given solution, find coefficients*
- Discuss methodologies and techniques developed in other scientific areas, motivated by other sciences and applications that can be applied in optical remote sensing of vegetation

**Classification of RTE (Germogenova, 1986)** 

> Standard problem: *RT problem with nonreflecting boundary* 

> Boundary value problem for RTE



- **Classification of RTE (Germogenova, 1986)** 
  - Standard problem: RT problem with nonreflecting boundary
     Boundary value problem for RTE
- Boundary vale problem can be expressed via solutions of the standard RTE
  - > Relationships between forward and adjoint RTE
  - ➤ Green's function approach (George Green, 7/14/1793-5/31/1841)



**Classification of RTE (Germogenova, 1986)** 

Standard problem: RT problem with nonreflecting boundary
 Boundary value problem for RTE

Boundary vale problem can be expressed via solutions of the standard RTE

> Relationships between forward and adjoint RTE

➢ Green's function approach (George Green, 7/14/1793-5/31/1841)

$$I(z, \Omega) = I_{BS}(z, \Omega) + \frac{\rho F_{BS}}{1 - \rho F_S} J_S(z, \Omega)$$





**Classification of RTE (Germogenova, 1986)** 

Standard problem: RT problem with nonreflecting boundary
 Boundary value problem for RTE

Boundary vale problem can be expressed via solutions of the standard RTE

> Relationships between forward and adjoint RTE

➢ Green's function approach (George Green, 7/14/1793-5/31/1841)

$$I(z, \Omega) = I_{BS}(z, \Omega) + \frac{\rho F_{BS}}{1 - \rho F_S} J_S(z, \Omega)$$

**Critical for the inverse problem** 





9

**Classification of RTE (Germogenova, 1986)** 

Standard problem: RT problem with nonreflecting boundary
 Boundary value problem for RTE

Boundary vale problem can be expressed via solutions of the standard RTE

> Relationships between forward and adjoint RTE

➢ Green's function approach (George Green, 7/14/1793-5/31/1841)

$$I(z, \Omega) = I_{BS}(z, \Omega) + \frac{\rho F_{BS}}{1 - \rho F_S} J_S(z, \Omega)$$

Critical for the inverse problem

# In developing inverse techniques, special emphasis should be given to the standard problem

Germogenova, T.A. (1986). Local properties of solutions to the transport equation. Moscow: Nauka (in Russian)







$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r,\Omega) I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega') d\Omega'$$





$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega') d\Omega'$$
$$I_{\lambda}(r_{\text{top}}, \Omega)$$





$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega') d\Omega'$$

$$I_{\lambda}(\rho, \Omega)$$
mean intensity emanating from
heterogeneous vegetation pixels
$$\bar{I}_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S} I_{\lambda}(r_{top}, \Omega) dr_{top}$$

Mean Intensity



$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega') d\Omega'$$

$$I_{\lambda}(p_{\rm op}, \Omega)$$
mean intensity emanating from  $-1 \int_{-\infty} 1 \int_{-\infty} (r, \Omega') d\Omega'$ 

**Mean Intensity** 

mean intensity emanating from heterogeneous vegetation pixels  $\bar{I}_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S} I_{\lambda}(r_{top}, \Omega) dr_{top}$ 

Can we derive equation for  $\overline{I}_{\lambda}$ ?



$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r,\Omega) I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega') d\Omega' I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r,\Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r,\Omega') d\Omega'$$

**Mean Intensity** 

mean intensity emanating from heterogeneous vegetation pixels  $\bar{I}_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S} I_{\lambda}(r_{top}, \Omega) dr_{top}$ 

# Can we derive equation for $\overline{I}_{\lambda}$ ?

*Vainikko (1973): Mean intensity satisfies a simple system of equations Titov (1990): Physical processes in clouds as stochastic process* 

$$|\mu|\overline{I}(z,\Omega) + \int_{a}^{b} \sigma p(\xi)U(\xi,\Omega)d\xi$$
  
= 
$$\int_{a}^{b} p(\xi)S(\xi,\Omega)d\xi + |\mu|\overline{I}(0,\Omega)$$
  
$$|\mu|U(z,\Omega) + \int_{a}^{b} \sigma K(z,\xi,\Omega)U(\xi,\Omega)d\xi$$
  
= 
$$\int_{a}^{b} K(z,\xi,\Omega)S(\xi,\Omega)d\xi + |\mu|U(0,\Omega)$$
  
Stochastic RTE

Vainikko, G.M. (1973). The equations of mean radiance in broken cloudiness. Trudy MGK SSSR. *Meteorological Investigations, 21*, 28-37. Titov, G.A. (1990). Statistical Description of Radiation Transfer in Clouds. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 47*, 24-38.

Stoyan, D., Kendal, W.S., & Mecke, J. (1995). Stochastic geometry and its applications. New York: John Wiley & Sons.15Huang et al. (2008). Stochastic transport theory for investigating the three-dimensional canopy structure from space measurements. Remote Sens. Environ.2, 35-50.



$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega') d\Omega$$
$$I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) d\Omega$$

**Mean Intensity** 

16

 $\bar{I}_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{\Omega} I_{\lambda}(r_{\text{top}}, \Omega) dr_{\text{top}}$ Can we derive equation for  $\overline{I}_{\lambda}$ ?

mean intensity emanating from heterogeneous vegetation pixels

Vainikko (1973): Mean intensity satisfies a simple system of equations Titov (1990): Physical processes in clouds as stochastic process



Vainikko, G.M. (1973). The equations of mean radiance in broken cloudiness. Trudy MGK SSSR. Meteorological Investigations, 21, 28-37. Titov, G.A. (1990). Statistical Description of Radiation Transfer in Clouds. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 47, 24-38. Stoyan, D., Kendal, W.S., & Mecke, J. (1995). Stochastic geometry and its applications. New York: John Wiley & Sons. Huang et al. (2008). Stochastic transport theory for investigating the three-dimensional canopy structure from space measurements. Remote Sens. Environ.2, 35-50.



$$\Omega \nabla I_{\lambda} + \sigma(r, \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega') d\Omega'$$
$$I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) = \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{s,\lambda}(r, \Omega' \to \Omega) I_{\lambda}(r, \Omega) d\Omega'$$

**Mean Intensity** 

mean intensity emanating from heterogeneous vegetation pixels  $\bar{I}_{\lambda}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S} I_{\lambda}(r_{top}, \Omega) dr_{top}$ 

# Can we derive equation for $I_{\lambda}$ ?

*Vainikko (1973): Mean intensity satisfies a simple system of equations Titov (1990): Physical processes in clouds as stochastic process* 



Vainikko, G.M. (1973). The equations of mean radiance in broken cloudiness. Trudy MGK SSSR. *Meteorological Investigations, 21,* 28-37. Titov, G.A. (1990). Statistical Description of Radiation Transfer in Clouds. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 47,* 24-38. Stoyan, D., Kendal, W.S., & Mecke, J. (1995). *Stochastic geometry and its applications*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. Huang et al. (2008). Stochastic transport theory for investigating the three-dimensional canopy structure from space measurements. *Remote Sens. Environ.2,* 35-50.

17

#### LEAF AND CANOPY SPECTRAL REFLECTANCE



Leaf scattering and canopy reflectance are similar in shape, differ in magnitude

#### LEAF AND CANOPY SPECTRAL REFLECTANCE



#### **SPECTRAL INVARIANTS IN VEGETATION CANOPIES**



#### **DECOMPOSITION OF THE STANDARD PROBLEM**



Solution of the *standard RTE* 

 $BRF_{\lambda} = DASF(\Omega_{sensor}; \Omega_{sun})W_{\lambda}$ 

<u>D</u>irectional <u>A</u>rea <u>S</u>cattering <u>F</u>actor: canopy BRF if no absorption

$$DASF = \frac{\rho(\Omega_{sensor}; \Omega_{sun})i_0(\Omega_{sun})}{1-p}$$

Canopy scattering coefficient

$$W_{\lambda} = \omega_{\lambda} \frac{1-p}{1-p\omega_{\lambda}}$$

DASF determines BRF angular shape while the scattering coefficient its magnitude
 Solution of the standard RTE is expressed in terms measurable variables

#### **DECOMPOSITION OF THE STANDARD PROBLEM**



Solution of the *standard RTE* 

 $BRF_{\lambda} = DASF(\Omega_{sensor}; \Omega_{sun})W_{\lambda}$ 

<u>D</u>irectional <u>A</u>rea <u>S</u>cattering <u>F</u>actor: canopy BRF if no absorption

 $DASF = \frac{\rho(\Omega_{sensor}; \Omega_{sun})i_0(\Omega_{sun})}{1-p}$ 

Canopy scattering coefficient

$$W_{\lambda} = \omega_{\lambda} \frac{1-p}{1-p\omega_{\lambda}}$$

DASF determines BRF angular shape while the scattering coefficient its magnitude
 Solution of the standard RTE is expressed in terms measurable variables

$$I(z, \Omega) = I_{BS}(z, \Omega) + \frac{\rho F_{BS}}{1 - \rho F_S} J_S(z, \Omega)$$

# $\sigma(\Omega) = G(\Omega) u_L f$

# $BRF_{\lambda} = DASF \cdot W_{\lambda}$

 $\sigma(\Omega) = G(\Omega) \underbrace{u_L f}_{\text{effective leaf area}}^{\text{true leaf area}}$ 

$$BRF_{\lambda} = DASF \cdot W_{\lambda}$$











Smolander, S., & Stenberg, P. (2005). Simple parameterizations of the radiation budget of uniform broadleaved and coniferous canopies. RSE 94, 355-363.Huang et al. (2007). Canopy spectral invariants for remote sensing and model applications. Remote Sens. Environ. 106, 106-12229Knyazikhin et al. (2011) Canopy spectral invariants. Part 1: A new concept in remote sensing of vegetation. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat Transf. 112, 727-735



Smolander, S., & Stenberg, P. (2005). Simple parameterizations of the radiation budget of uniform broadleaved and coniferous canopies. *RSE* 94, 355-36 **3**0 Lewis, P., & Disney, M. (2007). Spectral invariants and scattering across multiple scales from within-leaf to canopy. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 109, 196-206.

# **DEEP SPACE CLIMATE OBSERVATORY (DSCOVR)**





 DSCOVR mission was launched on February 11, 2015 to the Sun-Earth Lagrangian L1 point

- to monitor solar weather to provide early warning of solar storms affecting the Earth
- hosts NASA Earth-Observing Instrument: the Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera (EPIC)
- EPIC measures Earth's reflected radiation
  - at 10 UV to NIR spectral bands
- □ Temporal resolution: 65 to 110 min
- □ Pixel size near center: 10×10 km
- L1B EPIC reflectance data (πI/F) are available from Langley ASDC

λ (nm)	FWHM (nm)	Nominal Product
317.5±0.1	1 ±0.2	Ozone
325±0.1	2±0.2	Ozone
340±0.3	<u>3 ±0.6</u>	Ozone, Aerosols, Clouds
388±0.3	<u>3 ±0.6</u>	Aerosols, Clouds
443±1	3±0.6	Aerosols
551±1	3±0.6	Aerosols, Vegetation
680 ±0.2	2±0.4	Aerosol, Vegetation, Clouds,
		<i>O</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>B-Band Reference</i>
687.75±0.2	0.8±0.2	O <sub>2</sub> B-Band Cloud Height
764.0±0.2	1 ±0.2	O <sub>2</sub> A-Band Cloud Height,
		Aerosol Height
779.5±0.3	2±0.4	O <sub>2</sub> A-Band Reference,
		Vegetation

# **DEEP SPACE CLIMATE OBSERVATORY (DSCOVR)**



August-23-2016

 DSCOVR mission was launched on February 11, 2015 to the Sun-Earth Lagrangian L1 point

- to monitor solar weather to provide early warning of solar storms affecting the Earth
- hosts NASA Earth-Observing Instrument: the Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera (EPIC)
- EPIC measures Earth's reflected radiation
  - at 10 UV to NIR spectral bands
- □ Temporal resolution: 65 to 110 min
- □ Pixel size near center: 10×10 km
- L1B EPIC reflectance data (πI/F) are available from Langley ASDC



### **RECOLLISION PROBABILITY OF CLOUD AND VEGETATION**

#### August-23-2016







$$\frac{BRF_{\lambda}}{\omega_{\lambda}} = R + pBRF_{\lambda}$$

 $\psi = \operatorname{atan} p$  , DEG

33

### **VEGETATION EARTH SYSTEM DATA RECORD (VESDR)**



- >Uses decomposition of the boundary value problem for RTE
- >Based on the stochastic RTE equation
  - □ accounts for 3D effects in surface reflectance data
- >Incorporates recent advances in the theory of canopy spectral invariants
  - Special attention to the relationship between "true" and "effective" LAI
  - □ Kuusk's theory is incorporated into spectral invariants
  - □ Energy conservation law is not violated
- >Parametrized in terms of measurable parameters
  - allows for direct validation of the algorithm, facilitates identification of its deficiencies and development of refinements

#### **SUMMARY**

Methodologies and techniques developed in other scientific areas, motivated by other sciences and applications:

>Decomposition of the boundary value problem for RTE

□ Reactor physics, atmospheric physics, astrophysics

>Stochastic radiative transfer equation

□ Cloud physics, mathematics

> Spectral invariants

□ Reactor physics (criticality condition), mathematics

> Hot spot phenomenon

Vegetation, amplified by reactor/cloud physics, mathematics http://www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing/special\_issues/rt\_rs





Special Issue

#### **Radiative Transfer Modelling and Applications in Remote Sensing**

Deadline for manuscript submission: 15 May, 2018

#### Guest Editors:

#### Dr. Yuri Knyazikhin

Boston University, 675 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

jknjazi@bu.edu

#### **Dr. Alexander Marshak**

NASA/GSFC, 8800 Greenbelt Rd, Greenbelt, MD 20771-2400 Alexander.Marshak@nasa.gov

#### Dr. Matti Mõttus

VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, PO Box 1000, Tekniikantie 1, Espoo, FIN-02044 VTT, Finland

matti.mottus@gmail.com

#### **Message from the Guest Editors**

We invite scientists working on forward and inverse radiative transfer to contribute to this Special Issue. Topics of interest include (a) theoretical aspects of radiative transfer that can advance remote sensing techniques; (b) models for radiative transfer in the atmosphere and the Earth's surface that further our understanding of information content of multiangle, spectral and polarimetric data; (c) analyses of 3D effects in radiative transfer and associated uncertainties in interpretation of remotely sensed data; and (d) methodologies that minimize the discretizing effects in numerical solutions of the radiative transfer equation. Contributions related to development of various indices that correlate with parameters of the atmosphere and land surface are also encouraged. However, we expect that such papers will provide analyses of underlying physical mechanisms of the correlation, which is required to distinguish causality from correlations in interpretation of remote sensing data.

**Keyword:** radiative transfer equation; inverse technique; multiangle, spectral and polarimetric signals; computational methods; remote sensing indices